

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,296	10/811,296 03/26/2004		Kalpana Bhandari	03108/0201072-US0	8268	
7278	7590	12/13/2004		EXAMINER		
DARBY &		P.C.	NWAONICHA, CHUKWUMA O			
P. O. BOX NEW YOR		0150-5257		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1621		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)							
Office Action	10/811,296		BHANDARI ET AL.						
Office Action	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Chukwuma O. N		1621					
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress				
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the r - If the period for reply specified at - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	TORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THIS COMMUNICATION. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 nailing date of this communication. bove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period w extended period for reply will, by statute, ater than three months after the mailing See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory mi vill apply and will expire , cause the application	vever, may a reply be time inimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on								
2a) This action is FINA	L. 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordan	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) is/a	☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above cla	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/a	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s)is/a	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/a	-								
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are s	subject to restriction and/or e	election requiren	nent.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification is	objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declara	tion is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the	e attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19								
	made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified cop	ies of the priority documents	s have been rec	eived in Applicatio	on No					
Copies of the	e certified copies of the prior	rity documents h	ave been receive	d in this National	Stage				
· · ·	om the International Bureau	•							
* See the attached de	tailed Office action for a list	of the certified c	opies not received	d.					
Attachment(s)									
Notice of References Cited (F)	PTO-892)	4) [_	Interview Summary (
	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTC)-152)				
Information Disclosure Staten Paper No(s)/Mail Date	nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6)	Other:	atom rippiloation (i 10					

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Group I. Claims 1-2 and 8, drawn to a N-aryloxypropanolyl-N'-phenethy urea of general formula 3 and its composition, classified in class 564, subclass 32.
- Group II. Claims 3-7, drawn to a method of preparation of a N-aryloxypropanolyl-N'-phenethy urea of general formula 3, classified in class 564, subclass 24+.
- Group III. Claim 9-15, drawn to a method for treating obesity, classified in class 514, subclass 588.

It should be noted that claims 11-15 are nonstatutory and for purposes of the restriction requirement, the Examiner has interpreted them as method of use claims.

Inventions Group I (claims 1-2 and 8) and Group II (claims 3-7) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as the process disclose in WO 00/35875.

Inventions Group I (claims 1-2 and 8) and Group III (claim 9-15) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be

Art Unit: 1621

practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process of using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as those compounds disclose in EP 0 955 293 A1.

The invention of Groups I-III are independent and patentably distinct because there is no patentable co-action among the various groups and a reference anticipating one member will not render another obvious.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and as shown by their different classification, a search of the three groups designated above would impose an undue burden upon the examiner, and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is therefor proper.

A telephone call was made to Sandra Lee on 11/30/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicants' are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a

Art Unit: 1621

matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha whose telephone number is 571-272-2908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1621

Page 5

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1621

November 30, 2004

Johann R. Richter, Ph.D., Esq. Supervisory Patent Examiner, Technology Center 1600